| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/532,877 | BAYRAM-HAHN ET AL |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Hai Vo | 1794 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: 98 | |
| (1) <u>Hai Vo</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Jennifer Branigan</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 30 October 2009 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: Telephone: Video Conference: Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative agrees to amend the specification in a manner as suggested by the examiner to correct the informality. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowable. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | on summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | nnlicant/Annlicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Applicant(s)